MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Sentrix Pharmacy and Discount, L.L.C. XL Specialty Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-1036-01 Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

December 13, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The insurance carrier, Sedgwick failed to take final action within the 45-day period set forth in TAC §134.240 [sic]. Specifically the claim was submitted on 9/26/16 and it was received by the provider on 10/3/16 ... and no action was taken on the claim. Sentrix made a good faith effort to notify the carrier of their failure to respond to the bill on 11/22/16 and it was received by the provider on 11/25/16 ... Again, no action was taken on the claim."

Amount in Dispute: \$2,488.99

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: Submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the respondent. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of review.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 26, 2016	Pharmacy Services – Compound Cream	\$2,488.99	\$2,488.99

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.2 defines terms used in the medical billing and processing chapter.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.200 sets out the insurance carrier's procedures for receipt of medical bills.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.210 sets out the procedures for medical documentation.
- 5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedure for medical bill processing by the workers' compensation insurance carrier.

- 6. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502 sets out the procedures for pharmaceutical benefits.
- 7. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 sets out the fee guideline for pharmacy services.
- 8. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out provisions related to payment of health care providers.
- 9. The submitted documentation does not include explanations of benefits presented to the requestor prior to medical fee dispute resolution.

Issues

- 1. Did XL Specialty Insurance Company respond to the medical fee dispute?
- 2. Did XL Specialty Insurance Company reduce or deny the disputed services not later than the 45th day after receiving the medical bill?
- 3. Is Sentrix Pharmacy and Discount, L.L.C. (Sentrix) entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

- 1. The Austin carrier representative for XL Specialty Insurance Company is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on December 15, 2016.
 - 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 states, in relevant part:
 - (d) Responses. Responses to a request for MFDR shall be legible and submitted to the division and to the requestor in the form and manner prescribed by the division.
 - (1) Timeliness. The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute [emphasis added]. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information.

Review of the documentation finds that no response has been received on behalf of XL Specialty Insurance Company from Flahive, Ogden & Latson to date. The division concludes that XL Specialty Insurance Company failed to respond within the timeframe required by §133.307(d)(1). For that reason the division will base its decision on the information available.

2. Sentrix contends that the insurance carrier "failed to take final action within the 45-day period set forth in TAC §133.240." Furthermore, in its reconsideration request, Sentrix also alleges that "Sentrix has not ... received any sort of notification or EOBR."

According to Texas Labor Code Sec. 408.027(b), XL Specialty Insurance Company was required to pay, reduce, or deny the disputed services not later than the 45th day after it received the pharmacy bill from Sentrix. Corresponding 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(a) also required XL Specialty Insurance Company to take **final action** by issuing an explanation of benefits not later than the statutorily-required 45th day. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.2(6) defines final action as follows:

- (6) Final action on a medical bill—
 - (A) sending a payment that makes the total reimbursement for that bill a fair and reasonable reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement); and/or
 - (B) denying a charge on the medical bill.

The following evidence supports the written statement from Sentrix that the pharmaceutical bill for the service in dispute was initially received by XL Specialty Insurance Company on October 3, 2016:

- A copy of a USPS certified mail receipt with tracking number 9400 1118 9956 3679 1474 17, postmarked September 26, 2016.
- A USPS tracking document indicating that USPS tracking number 9400 1118 9956 3679 1474 17 was delivered on October 3, 2016 at the location listed on the USPS receipt.

When the insurance carrier receives a medical bill, it is obligated to take the following actions pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240:

- (a) An insurance carrier shall take final action [emphasis added] after conducting bill review on a complete medical bill...not later than the 45th day [emphasis added] after the insurance carrier received a complete medical bill...
- (e) The insurance carrier **shall send the explanation of benefits** [emphasis added] in accordance with the elements required by §133.500 and §133.501 of this title...The explanation of benefits shall be sent to:
 - (1) the health care provider when the insurance carrier makes payment or denies payment on a medical bill...

All workers compensation insurance carriers are expected to fulfill their duty to take final action as required by the divisions Laws and adopted administrative rules. The division finds that:

- no evidence was presented to the division to support that XL Specialty Insurance Company took final action by paying, reducing, or denying the services in dispute within 45 days; and
- no evidence was presented to the division to support that XL Specialty Insurance Company timely presented *any* defenses to Sentrix on an explanation of benefits as required under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 prior to the request for medical fee dispute resolution.

Absent evidence that XL Specialty Insurance Company raised any defenses that conform to the requirements of Title 28, Part 2, Chapter 133, Subchapter C, the division concludes that XL Specialty Insurance Company failed to reduce or deny the disputed services not later than the 45th day after receiving the medical bill. Therefore, the services in question will be reviewed in accordance with applicable fee guidelines.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.503 applies to the compound in dispute and states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The insurance carrier shall reimburse the health care provider or pharmacy processing agent for prescription drugs the lesser of:
 - (1) the fee established by the following formulas based on the average wholesale price (AWP) as reported by a nationally recognized pharmaceutical price guide or other publication of pharmaceutical pricing data in effect on the day the prescription drug is dispensed:
 - (A) Generic drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.25) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (B) Brand name drugs: ((AWP per unit) x (number of units) x 1.09) + \$4.00 dispensing fee per prescription = reimbursement amount;
 - (C) When compounding, a single compounding fee of \$15 per prescription shall be added to the calculated total for either paragraph (1)(A) or (B) of this subsection; or
 - (2) notwithstanding §133.20(e)(1) of this title (relating to Medical Bill Submission by Health Care Provider), the amount billed to the insurance carrier by the:
 - (A) health care provider; or
 - (B) pharmacy processing agent only if the health care provider has not previously billed the insurance carrier for the prescription drug and the pharmacy processing agent is billing on behalf of the health care provider.

The compound in dispute was billed by listing each drug included in the compound and calculating the charge for each drug separately as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.502(d)(2). Each ingredient is listed below with its corresponding reimbursement amount as applicable.

Ingredient	NDC &	Price/	Total	AWP Formula	Billed Amt	Lesser of
	Туре	Unit	Units	§134.503(c)(1)	§134.503	(c)(1) and
					(c)(2)	(c)(2)
Salt Stable LS	00395602157	\$3.36	170.4	\$3.36 x 170.4 x	\$572.47	\$572.47
Base	Brand Name	\$3.30	gm	1.09 = \$624.07	\$572.47	\$572.47
Baclofen 4%	38779038808	\$35.63	9.6	\$35.63 x 9.6 x	\$341.99	\$341.99
Baciolen 4%	Generic	\$35.03	gm	1.25 = \$342.05	\$341.99	\$341.99
Amantadine	38779041109	\$24.225	19.2	\$24.225 x 19.2 x	\$465.19	\$465.19
8%	Generic	\$24.225	gm	1.25 = \$581.40	\$405.19	\$405.19

Amitriptyline	58597800308	\$19.15	4.8	\$19.15 x 4.8 x	\$91.84	\$91.84
2%	Generic		gm	1.25 = \$114.90		
Cabanantia FO/	58597801407	¢62.94	12 am	\$62.84 x 12 x	¢754.16	¢754.16
Gabapentin 5%	Generic	\$62.84	12 gm	1.25 = \$942.60	\$754.16	\$754.16
Ketoprofen	58597801707	¢10.07	24 am	\$10.97 x 24 x	¢262.24	¢262.24
10%	Generic	\$10.97	24 gm	1.25 = \$329.10	\$263.34	\$263.34
					Total	\$2,488.99

The total allowable reimbursement for the disputed service is \$2,488.99. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$2,488.99.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Sec. 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$2,488.99, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	May 17, 2017	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.